1 2 3 4	THEODORE V. WELLS JR. (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) MOSES SILVERMAN (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) MICHAEL E. GERTZMAN (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP 1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10019 Telephone: (212) 373-3000 Engine (212) 757-3000		
5			
6	MICHAEL J. SHEPARD (State Bar No. 91281) MICHAEL A. ZWIBELMAN (State Bar No. 224783) HELLER EHRMAN LLP		
7			
8			
9			
10	CHARLES W. McCALL		
11	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13			
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.: CR-00-0505-MJJ	
15	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
16	v.	ORDER MODIFYING BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANTS	
17	CHARLES W. McCALL and JAY M.	McCALL'S AND LAPINE'S POST- TRIAL MOTIONS	
18	LAPINE,		
19	Defendants.	The Honorable Martin J. Jenkins Date: April 12, 2007	
20		Time: 2:00 p.m. Courtroom 11, Nineteenth Floor	
21			
22			
23			
24 25			
26			
27			
28			
-~			

ORDER MODIFYING BRIEFING SCHEDULE CASE NO. CR-00-0505-MJJ

The United States and defendants Charles W. McCall and Jay M. Lapine, through their respective counsel, stipulate and agree as follows:

RECITALS

- 1. On June 4, 2003, this Court unsealed a second superseding indictment ("the Indictment") containing charges against Mr. McCall and Mr. Lapine. The charges, which relate to accounting irregularities reported to the market by the McKesson Corporation in April 1999, include conspiracy to commit securities fraud, fraud in connection with the purchase and sale of securities, filing false documents with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, circumventing internal accounting controls, and falsifying books, records, and accounts.
 - 2. Trial in this matter began on September 11, 2006.
- 3. Mr. McCall and Mr. Lapine moved for judgments of acquittal pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 29 at the close of the government's case-in-chief and renewed the motions at the close of evidence.
 - 4. The case was submitted to the jury on Friday, October 27, 2006.
- 5. On Friday, November 3, 2006, the jury returned verdicts of not guilty as to Mr. McCall and Mr. Lapine on Count 1 of the Indictment, Conspiracy to Commit Securities Fraud, 18 U.S.C. § 371. The jury was unable to reach a verdict as to Mr. McCall or Mr. Lapine on any of the remaining six counts, and the Court declared a mistrial as to Counts 2, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 of the Indictment and discharged the jury.
- 6. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 29, Mr. McCall and Mr. Lapine would have been required to file Motions for Judgements of Acquittal, if either sought to make such a motion, on or before November 10, 2006, within seven days after the Court discharged the jury.

28

- 7. By a Stipulation and Proposed Order dated November 8, 2006, the undersigned parties stipulated and agreed that, with this Court's permission, the briefing schedule for Mr. McCall's and Mr. Lapine's Motions for Judgments of Acquittal, if either brought such a motion, would be as follows: Mr. McCall's and Mr. Lapine's motions would be due on or before December 22, 2006; the government's opposition would be due on or before January 26, 2007; and Mr. McCall's and Mr. Lapine's reply briefs would be due on or before February 23, 2007. The motions would be heard, with the Court's permission, on March 8, 2007, in conjunction with the status conference scheduled in this matter.
- 8. The Court entered an Order on November 14, 2006, setting the briefing schedule on Mr. McCall's and Mr. Lapine's Motions for Judgments of Acquittal as set forth above.
- 9. In order to accommodate the professional schedules of counsel, all undersigned parties now agree that, with this Court's permission, the briefing schedule for Mr. McCall's and Mr. Lapine's Motions for Judgments of Acquittal, or other post-trial motions, if any, shall be as follows: Mr. McCall's and Mr. Lapine's motions would be due on or before February 2, 2007; the government's opposition would be due on or before March 8, 2007; and Mr. McCall's and Mr. Lapine's reply briefs would be due on or before April 6, 2007. The motions would be heard, with the Court's permission, on April 12, 2007 at 2:00 p.m. or at the Court's earliest convenience. The parties also agree that the status conference scheduled for March 8, 2007 should proceed as planned.

STIPULATION Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that, with 2 3 this Court's permission, Mr. McCall's and Mr. Lapine's Motions for Judgments of 4 Acquittal, or other post-trial motions, if any, shall be due on or before February 2, 2007; the government's opposition shall be due on or before March 8, 2007; and Mr. McCall's and 5 Mr. Lapine's reply briefs shall be due on or before April 6, 2007. The motions, if brought, 6 shall be heard on April 12, 2007 at 2:00 p.m. or at the Court's earliest convenience. The 7 status conference scheduled for March 8, 2007 should proceed as planned. 8 9 10 IT IS SO STIPULATED AND AGREED. 11 12 DATED: December 15, 2006 Respectfully submitted, 13 PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON, & **GARRISON LLP** 14 15 By /s/ Theodore V. Wells, Jr. 16 Theodore V. Wells Jr. 17 -- and --18 HELLER EHRMAN LLP 19 20 By /s/ Michael J. Shepard Michael J. Shepard 21 Attorneys for Defendant 22 CHARLES W. McCALL 23 24 25 26 27 28

DATED: December 15, 2006	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
	By /s/ Timothy P. Crudo
	Timothy P. Crudo Brian J. Stretch
	Office of the United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue
	Eleventh Floor San Francisco, CA 94102
	(415) 436-7200 (415) 436-7234 FAX
	Attorneys for the United States
DATED: December 15, 2006	TOPEL & GOODMAN
	By /s/ Marcus S. Topel Marcus S. Topel
	Lyn Agre Topel & Goodman
	832 Sansome Street, Fourth Floor San Francisco, CA 94111
	(415) 421-6140 (415) 398-5030 FAX
	Attorneys for Defendant JAY M. LAPINE
Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
	~ 0 0
DATED: December <u>21</u> , 2006	THE HONORABLE MARTIN J. JENKINS
	United States District Judge
3 4	
	Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation